

ALJ shall constitute final agency action unless the ARB, within thirty (30) days of the filing of the petition for review, notifies the parties that the case has been accepted for review. Any case accepted by the ARB shall be decided within 120 days of such acceptance. If no decision is reached in that time, then the decision of the ALJ shall constitute final Departmental action.

§ 646.915 What administrative requirements must be met when the INA WtW program ends?

In accordance with the Department's regulations at 29 CFR 97.50 for tribes and 29 CFR 95.71 for nonprofits, all expiring grants will be closed out. This means that all funds drawn down under the INA WtW grant must be accounted for as allowable expenditures or returned to the Department. The Department will issue appropriate closeout forms and instructions to all INA WtW grantees after the program ends.

PART 650—STANDARD FOR APPEALS PROMPTNESS—UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION

Sec.

650.1 Nature and purpose of the standard.

650.2 Federal law requirements.

650.3 Secretary's interpretation of Federal law requirements.

650.4 Review of State law and criteria for review of State compliance.

650.5 Annual appeals performance plan.

AUTHORITY: Sec. 1102 of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. 1302; Secretary's Order No. 4-75, dated April 16, 1975. Interpret and apply secs. 303(a)(1), 303(a)(3), and 303(b)(2) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 503(a)(1), 503(a)(3), 503(b)(2)).

SOURCE: 37 FR 16173, Aug. 11, 1972, unless otherwise noted.

§ 650.1 Nature and purpose of the standard.

(a) This standard is responsive to the overriding concern of the U.S. Supreme Court in *California Department of Human Resources v. Java*, 402 U.S. 121 (1971), and that of other courts with delay in payment of unemployment compensation to eligible individuals, including delays caused specifically by the adjudication process. The standard seeks to assure that all administrative appeals affecting benefit rights are

heard and decided with the greatest promptness that is administratively feasible.

(b) Sections 303(a)(1) and (3) of the Social Security Act require, as a condition for the receipt of granted funds, that State laws include provisions for methods of administration reasonably calculated to insure full payment of unemployment compensation when due, and opportunity for a fair hearing for all individuals whose claims for unemployment compensation are denied. The Secretary has construed these provisions to require, as a condition for receipt of granted funds, that State laws include provisions for hearing and deciding appeals for all unemployment insurance claimants who are parties to an administrative benefit appeal with the greatest promptness that is administratively feasible. What is the greatest promptness that is administratively feasible in an individual case depends on the facts and circumstances of that case. For example, the greatest promptness that is administratively feasible will be longer in cases that involve interstate appeals, complex issues of fact or law, reasonable requests by parties for continuances or rescheduling of hearings or other unforeseen and uncontrollable factors than it will be for other cases.

(c) In addition, the Secretary has construed section 303(b)(2) of the Social Security Act as requiring States to comply substantially with the required provisions of State law. The Secretary considers as substantial compliance the issuance of minimum percentages of first level benefit appeal decisions within the periods of time specified in § 650.4.

(d) Although the interpretation of Federal law requirements in § 650.3 below applies to both first and second level administrative benefit appeals, the criteria for review of State compliance in § 650.3(b) apply only to first level benefit appeals.

§ 650.2 Federal law requirements.

(a) Section 303(a)(1) of the Social Security Act requires that a State law include provision for:

Such methods of administration * * * as are found by the Secretary of Labor to be

§ 650.3

20 CFR Ch. V (4-1-04 Edition)

reasonably calculated to insure full payment of unemployment compensation when due.

(b) Section 303(a)(3) of the Social Security Act requires that a State law include provision for:

Opportunity for a fair hearing, before an impartial tribunal, for all individuals whose claims for unemployment compensation are denied.

(c) Section 303(b)(2) of the Social Security Act provides that:

Whenever the Secretary of Labor, after reasonable notice and opportunity for hearing to the State agency charged with the administration of the State law, finds that in the administration of the law there is—

(1) * * *

(2) A failure to comply substantially with any provision specified in subsection (a) [303(a)]; the Secretary of Labor shall notify such State agency that further payments will not be made to the State until he is satisfied that there is no longer any such denial or failure to comply. Until the Secretary of Labor is so satisfied, he shall make no further certification to the Secretary of the Treasury with respect to such State * * *

§ 650.3 Secretary's interpretation of Federal law requirements.

(a) The Secretary interprets sections 303(a)(1) and 303(a)(3) above to require that a State law include provision for—

(1) Hearing and decision for claimants who are parties to an appeal from a benefit determination to an administrative tribunal with the greatest promptness that is administratively feasible, and

(2) Such methods of administration of the appeals process as will reasonably assure hearing and decision with the greatest promptness that is administratively feasible.

(b) The Secretary interprets section 303(b)(2) above to require a State to comply substantially with provisions specified in paragraph (a) of this section.

§ 650.4 Review of State law and criteria for review of State compliance.

(a) A State law will satisfy the requirements of § 650.3(a) if after calendar year 1973 it contains a provision requiring, or is construed to require, hearing and decision for claimants who are parties to an administrative appeal affecting benefit rights with the greatest

promptness that is administratively feasible.

(b) A State will be deemed to comply substantially with the State law requirements set forth in § 650.3(a) with respect to first level appeals, if for the calendar year 1975 and ensuing years, the State has issued at least 60 percent of all first level benefit appeal decisions within 30 days of the date of appeal, and at least 80 percent of all first level benefit appeal decisions within 45 days. These computations will be derived from the State's regular reports required pursuant to the Employment Security Manual, part III, sections 4400-4450.¹

(c) To afford the States a reasonable opportunity to make the changes necessary to meet these criteria, the Secretary will not evaluate substantial compliance until calendar year 1974 and for that year he will apply less stringent criteria than for future years. A State law will be deemed to comply substantially with the State law promptness requirement for calendar year 1974 if the State has issued at least 50 percent of all first level benefit appeal decisions within 30 days of the date of appeal; at least 75 percent of its first level benefit appeal decisions within 45 days; and at least 90 percent of its first level benefit appeal decisions within 75 days. These computations also will be derived from the aforementioned reports required pursuant to the Employment Security Manual.

[37 FR 16173, Aug. 11, 1972, as amended at 41 FR 6757, Feb. 13, 1976]

§ 650.5 Annual appeals performance plan.

No later than December 15, 1974, and the 15th of December of each ensuing year, each State shall submit an appeals performance plan showing how it will operate during the following calendar year so as to achieve or maintain the issuance of at least 60 percent of all first level benefit appeals decisions

¹The Employment Security Manual is available at each regional office of the Department of Labor and at the headquarters' office of each State employment security agency.